diversity or federal question jurisdiction, and therefore removal is improper. 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a); see Exxon Mobil Corp v. Allapattah Svcs., Inc., 545 U.S. 546, 563, 125 S. Ct. 2611, 162 L. Ed. 2d 502 (2005). Here, defendants have asserted both federal question and diversity jurisdiction as their basis for removal. But as described in more detail in the Order Denying Defendants' Request to Proceed Without Prepayment of Filing Fee, because the unlawful detainer action to be removed does not actually raise the federal claims to which defendants point, and because the amount in controversy does not exceed \$75,000 and there is no allegation of diversity of citizenship, there is no basis to assert either federal question or diversity jurisdiction. See 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1332, 1441.

Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that: (1) this matter be REMANDED to the Superior Court of California, San Bernardino County, Fontana District, 17780 Arrow Highway, Fontana, CA 92335-2398, for lack of subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1447(c); (2) that the Clerk send a certified copy of this Order to the state court; and (3) that the Clerk serve copies of this Order on the parties.

8/16/2

STATES DISTRICT JUDGE